Technical Reclamation Memorandum

TRM # 18

Date:

July 10, 1990 (

From: William J. Grable, Commissioner

Subject: Certification of

Maintenance of Impoundments

Kentucky Department for Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Attached is a revised form SME-22, "Certification of Maintenance of Impoundments," dated July 1990. This revised form replaces the original form SME-22 dated June 1982, and hereafter is to be used for all engineer certifications of maintenance of impoundments, regardless of impoundment size, type, or purpose. The form has been revised to reflect current regulations and to provide a standard format for reporting maintenance inspections.

Page 1 of the form is a slightly revised version of the original SME-22. The title of the form has been revised to comply with current regulations. A line has been added to record the name of the permittee. In paragraph (a), "persons under my supervision" was changed to "qualified professional specialist under my supervision" to comply with current regulations. Also, corrections were made to the references to the regulations in the last sentence on page 1.

Page 2 is new and provides a standardized format for reporting the results of the maintenance inspection. Where an inspection reveals a maintenance problem that requires correction, the engineer should sign page 2, but not page 1.

The regulations [405 KAR 16:100/18:100 Section 1(9)] require that a maintenance inspection, followed promptly by the engineer's certification of maintenance, shall be made "at least yearly" on each impoundment. Questions have arisen concerning when the "yearly" inspection period starts and ends. For newly constructed impoundments, the first inspection of maintenance must occur no later than twelve (12) months after the final inspection of construction. Thereafter, each impoundment must be inspected no later than twelve (12) months from its previous inspection. Of course, it may be inspected sooner—then the next inspection would be due no later than twelve (12) months after that inspection. This is a flexible time requirement which allows permittees to stagger inspections and certifications to accommodate numerous impoundments.

Please note that these engineer inspections and certifications of maintenance are separate from the operator examinations for appearance of structural weakness or other hazardous conditions as required by 405 KAR 16:100/18:100 Section 1(10). The operator examinations are to be conducted weekly or quarterly, depending upon the size of the impoundment under the MSHA criteria of 30 CFR 77.216.

CERTIFICATION OF MAINTENANCE OF IMPOUNDMENTS



		1			
I,	1	7			
• ——	(registration no.)				
hereby certify, in accordance with 405 KAR 7:040, Section 10, that with respect to the					
following facility,					
(check one)					
permanent water impoundment	coal processing w	aste dam			
temporary water impoundment	coal processing w	aste impoundment			
facility #	on permit #	, behavior salmers			
permittee name					
a) I, or a qualified professional specialist under my supervision, have inspected the structure; and,					
b) based on the inspection(s), I have determined that the structure has been maintained as required by KRS Chapter 350 and KAR Title 405.					
The certification report required by 405 KAR 16:100, Section 1(9) or 405 KAR 18:100, Section 1(9) is attached.					
Engineer's seal					
1					

Kentucky Department for Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement SME-22 7/90

CERTIFICATION OF MAINTENANCE OF IMPOUNDMENTS

MAINTENANCE INSPECTION REPORT

PERM	RMITTEE NAME: PERMIT NO.:		PERMIT NO.:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Impoundment No Date of the Final Certification of Construction				
Date	ate of Last Inspection Date of this Inspection			
1.	excessive settlement, of "YES." attach a description	bility, structural weaknes r hazardous conditions? iption of the condition, th any necessary emergen	ne remedial	YES or NO
2.	What is the approximate present depth of impounded water at the deepest point?			ft.
3.	What is the current elevin relation to the perma as-built plan?			
4.	Are the capacities of the and storm pool, substant "NO," attach description	e sediment storage pool, ntially the same as the a ion of the differences.	permanent pool, pproved design?	YES or NO
5.	Estimate the percent of remains unfilled.	<u></u>		
6.	Describe the type and condition of the vegetative cover on the embankment, and describe any significant erosion.			
7.	Are the spillways obstru			YES or NO
8.	Is there any evidence of "YES," attach descrip and discuss implication	of leakage? otion of the location and a s for stability and safety.	amount of leakage	YES or NO
9.	Are there any existing construmentation other the structure of the structu		ocedures and	YES or NO
10.	State the current hazar Has the hazard classifi "YES," attach an exp causing the change.		nd the conditions	A or B or C YES or NO
11.		wise been maintained a lion of maintenance mea	s designed and constructed? sures needed.	YES or NO
Signa	ature of person conductir	ng inspection		Engineer's Seal
Signa	ature of engineer and req	gistration no.	<u> </u>	

Kentucky Department for Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement SME-22 7/90